

MANIFESTO FOR A THEORY OF THE 'NEW AESTHETIC' The New Aesthetic is technology accumulated to such a degree that it becomes an image. The New Aesthetic (NA) image is a special kind of image an image which is bodily, affectively sussable by humans.

The New Aesthetic is not a single aesthetic. Drone technology produces its own visual aesthetics. Google Maps produces its own visual aesthetics. **Generative Processing code produces** its own visual aesthetics. Glitches across various media, compression algorithms, and hardware displays produce their own visual aesthetics. These myriad aesthetics are each as singular and unique as the entangled culture/nature histories which led to the development and deployment of these various technologies and their gradual accumulation into humansussable images.

The New Aesthetic is not a new flavour of aesthetics. At best, and properly understood, it is a new way of understanding aesthetics altogether, one that renegotiates the relationship between human-subject and nonhuman-object. Perhaps we need a less historically-encrusted word for this 'new' relationship than 'aesthetic'. But lets keep 'aesthetic' for now. It forces us to revisit Kant, Schiller, Freud, Heidegger, and Whitehead.

A PROCESS WITHOUT A SINGULAR 'AESTHETIC' INTENTIONALITY The NA image is like outsider art incidentally created by systems.

The New Aesthetic is indifferent to mimesis. The NA image is not the re-presentation of an object. The NA image is the incidental visual residue of the performance or enactment of a process. The process never intentionally alters itself in order to achieve the 'goal' of the NA image. The NA image is a trace, a remnant, a remainder, a residue, a (potential) clue. The 'subject' of the NA image (when sussed, aright) is the process itself. In this sense, the New Aesthetic is akin to process art, if we substitute 'world' for 'studio' and 'human/non-human entanglements' for 'artist'.

The New Aesthetic image, in-and-ofitself, in stasis, is kind of cool. Cooler yet is the way in which the NA image reveals the historical forces that have come together to 'produce' it in stasis. Coolest is the way in which the NA image reveals how things are currently coming together in process; and how things may possibly come together in the near future.

New Aesthetic images aren't representative, analogous, archetypal, emblematic, or symbolic of any thing else. They are the actual traces and residues of processes and relationships—traces that have arrived in the visual realm and have entered humans via their eyes. NA images don't symbolise or represent the processes that have led to their creation. Instead, they are incidentally thrown into the world by those processes. The way backwards from the images toward the processes themselves is much more complicated that simply intellectually thinking about what these images look 'like'. We initially apperceive NA images bodily and affectively. They are freaky. They trip us out. Only later are we able to reflect on them analytically, letting their own systemic contours and folds guide our theoretical thought.

NEW AESTHETIC IMAGES ARE AFFECTIVELY SUSSED BY HUMANS, NOT BY THINGS

It bears repeating: 'Things' don't affectively suss New Aesthetic images. Only humans 'get' NA images. There is no machine 'aesthetic', no robotic 'vision'. Humans invent aesthetic theories regarding the interpretation of machine-generated images. Machines do not invent aesthetic theories regarding the interpretation of circuitgenerated images. Likewise, no rock ever invented an ontology. Humans develop ontologies which include rocks. Humans may even philosophically speculate what ontologies rocks might invent. But rocks-themselves do not invent rock-centric ontologies. Nor do rocks-themselves philosophically speculate what ontologies dirt might

If there were a clear dividing line between humans and things, then the 'aesthetics' of the New Aesthetic would lie mostly on the side of humans. Between humans and things, there is no clear dividing line.

New Aesthetic is that we all now 'get it' affectively via NA images. Our human bodies have a way of 'getting it' before our human intellects do.

New Aesthetic images can teach us humans a New Aesthetic. But as we listen to this New Aesthetic, what we are hearing is neither the pure voice of nature nor the adulterated voice of machines. We are listening to systems co-creating, a world of which we are

always already a part (never apart). co-creating, a world of which we are in the world - a world that we are machines. We are listening to systems nature nor the adulterated voice of are hearing is neither the pure voice of listen to this New Aesthetic, what we humans a New Aesthetic. But as we Resthetic images can teach us

our human intellects do. bodies have a way of 'getting it' before affectively via NA images. Our human New Aesthetic is that we all now 'get it' The most intriguing thing about the

there is no clear dividing line. humans. Between humans and things, would lie mostly on the side of 'aesthetics' of the New Aesthetic between humans and things, then the If there were a clear dividing line

speculate what ontologies dirt might rocks-themselves philosophically rock-centric ontologies. Nor do rocks-themselves do not invent ontologies rocks might invent. But philosophically speculate what rocks. Humans may even develop ontologies which include ever invented an ontology. Humans generated images. Likewise, no rock machine-generated images. Machines do not invent aesthetic theories regarding the interpretation of circuittheories regarding the interpretation of 'vision'. Humans invent aesthetic no machine 'aesthetic', no robotic Only humans 'get' NA images. There is affectively suss New Aesthetic images. It bears repeating: 'Things' don't NOT BY THINGS AFFECTIVELY SUSSED BY HUMANS,

guide our theoretical thought. their own systemic contours and folds reflect on them analytically, letting trip us out. Only later are we able to and affectively. They are freaky. They initially apperceive NA images bodily about what these images look 'like'. We that simply intellectually thinking themselves is much more complicated processes. The way backwards from thrown into the world by those creation. Instead, they are incidentally

NEW AESTHETIC IMAGES ARE

processes that have led to their don't symbolise or represent the humans via their eyes. NA images in the visual realm and have entered relationships—traces that have arrived residues of processes and else. They are the actual traces and representative, analogous, archetypal, New Aesthetic images aren't

the near future. things may possibly come together in coming together in process; and how image reveals how things are currently Coolest is the way in which the NA come together to 'produce' it in stasis. reveals the historical forces that have yet is the way in which the NA image itself, in stasis, is kind of cool. Cooler The New Aesthetic image, in-and-of-

entanglements' for 'artist'. nsmud-non/nsmud' bns 'oibuts' process art, if we substitute 'world' for this sense, the New Aesthetic is akin to sussed, aright) is the process itself. In The 'subject' of the NA image (when remainder, a residue, a (potential) clue. NA image is a trace, a remnant, a achieve the 'goal' of the NA image. The intentionally alters itself in order to process. The process never of the performance or enactment of a image is the incidental visual residue re-presentation of an object. The NA esis. The NA image is not the The New Aesthetic is indifferent to

incidentally created by systems. The NA image is like outsider art YESTHETIC' INTENTIONALITY A PROCESS WITHOUT A SINGULAR

Heidegger, and Whitehead. us to revisit Kant, Schiller, Freud, lets keep 'aesthetic' for now. It forces 'new' relationship than 'aesthetic'. But historically-encrusted word for this human-object. Perhaps we need a less between human-subject and nonunderstanding aesthetics altogether, one that renegotiates the relationship understood, it is a new way of of aesthetics. At best, and properly The New Aesthetic is not a new flavour

sussable images. gradual accumulation into humanthese various technologies and their the development and deployment of culture/nature histories which led to singular and unique as the entangled These myriad aesthetics are each as produce their own visual aesthetics. algorithms, and hardware displays across various media, compression its own visual aesthetics. Glitches Generative Processing code produces produces its own visual aesthetics. its own visual aesthetics. Google Maps aesthetic. Drone technology produces The New Aesthetic is not a single

sussable by humans. an image which is bodily, affectively (NA) image is a special kind of image becomes an image. The New Aesthetic accumulated to such a degree that it The New Aesthetic is technology OF THE 'NEW AESTHETIC' MANIFESTO FOR A THEORY



